





WRIT OF SUMMONS

FJ 0552/2025 (Order 2 rule 3(1)) WRIT ISSUED FROM

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

......GENERAL JURISDICTION, ACCRA.......

BETWEEN KENNETH NANA YAW OFORI - ATTA

25 ABORLEBU CR. GL-041-2486 LABONE, ACCRA. Plaintiff *

AND

1. DSP BISMARK BOAKYE ANSAH

Defendants

2. CHIEF INSPECTOR MENSAH A.K.A. SIR MENSAH BOTH OF ACCRA.

(PLAINTIFF TO DIRECT SERVICE)

To

AN ACTION having been commenced against you by the issue of this writ by the abovenamed Plaintiff. KENNETH NANA YAW OFORI - ATTA.

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED that within EIGHT DAYS after service of this writ on you inclusive of the day of service you do cause an appearance to be entered for you.

1. DSP BISMARK BOAKYE ANSAH 2. CHIEF INSPECTOR MENSAH A.K.A. SIR MENSAH

AND TAKE NOTICE that in default of your so doing, judgment may be given in your absence without further notice to you.

Dated this

Wale

Chief Justice of Ghana

THERE CONTRACEDES

NB: This writ is to be served within twelve calendar months from the date of issue unless, it is renewed within six calendar RS). months from the date of that renewal.

The defendant may appear hereto by filing a notice of appearance either personally or by a lawyer at Form 5 at the Registry SEMED of the Court of issue of the writ at A defendant appearing personally may, if he desire give notice of appearance by post.

*State name, place of residence or business address of plaintiff if known (not P.O. Box number).

**State name, place of residence or business address of defendant (not P.O. Box number)

FORM 1

STATEMENT OF CLAIM

Plaintiff claims against the Defendants jointly and severally as follows:

- a. A Declaration that Defendants' entry upon and conduct of a search on Plaintiff's property with house number 25 Aborlebu CR, GL-041-2486, Labone, Accra on 11/02/2025 was trespassory and a breach of Plaintiff's right to privacy.
- b. Damages for trespass
- c. Aggravated Damages for breach of privacy.
- d. Perpetual injunction restraining the Defendants whether by themselves, agents, assigns from entering upon Plaintiff's property and/or further breaching Plaintiff's privacy or repeating similar or other conduct against the Plaintiff.

e. Costs.

ADJEKUM & CO PRUC BOX CT 7887, CAN UNDENTS, ACCRA H KOOR, LOT WHITE PYRAMIO HOUSE BITTE HOAD CENTRAL, ACCRA 244653179/0207960263/0302229564

This writ was issued by

BRIGHT OKYERE-ADJEKUM ESQ. ADJEKUM AND COMPANY PRUC 5TH FLOOR, PYRAMID HOUSE RING ROAD CENTRAL, ACCRA.

whose address for service is

Agent for

Address Number and date of lawyer's current licence. **GAR eGAR 00061/25 DATED 15**TH **NOVEMBER, 2024**

Lawyer for the plaintiff

who resides at ACCRA

Indorsement to be made within 3 days after service

This writ was served by me at

on the defendant

on the	day of	
endorsed the	day of	
		Signed
	*	Address

NOTE: If the plaintiff's claim is for a liquidated demand only, further proceedings will be stayed if within the time limited for appearance the defendant pays the amount claimed to the plaintiff, his lawyer or his agent or into court as provided for in Order 2 rule 3(2).

JUDICATURE JUSTICE HIGH COUK

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF JUDICATURE IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE GENERAL JURISDICTION

ACCRA - A.D. 2025

SUIT NO.

KENNETH NANA YAW OFORI - ATTA 25 ABORLEBU CR. GL-041-2486 LABONE, ACCRA.) PLAINTIFF)))
VRS	
1. DSP BISMARK BOAKYE ANSAH) DEFENDANTS
2. CHIEF INSPECTOR MENSAH A.K.A. SIR MENSAH)
BOTH OF ACCRA.)
(PLAINTIFF TO DIRECT SERVICE))

STATEMENT OF CLAIM

- 1. Plaintiff inter alia is a former Minister for Finance and Economic Planning of the Republic of Ghana.
- 2. Plaintiff is and was at all material times entitled to the possession of all that property with house number 25 Aborlebu CR., GL-041-2486, Labone, Accra. (hereinafter called "the property").
- 3. Plaintiff says that at all material times the property was, at his instance, under the care and control of his domestic staff as he was temporarily out of the jurisdiction on medical treatment.
- 4. On 11/02/2025 the 1st and 2nd Defendants ("the Defendants"), together with some 12 others (hereinafter collectively called "the raiding team") without prior notice to and/or the consent of the Plaintiff and bereft of any legal basis, entered the property and conducted an extensive search thereof. The subject of the search was not disclosed.
- 5. The "raiding team" comprised the Defendants, 9 Military personnel carrying assault rifles and other menancingly looking individuals in plain clothes, some of who carried side arms on their bodies.

- 6. "The raiding team" did not produce any form of warrant nor indicate to the Plaintiff's domestic staff the basis for the search but warned the domestic staff to desist from using their mobile phones throughout the entire period of the unauthorized operation.
- 7. The Plaintiff's domestic staff, with morbid fear, and intense trepidation, looked on helplessly as the raiding team searched the nooks and crannies of the property including but not limited to the compounds, bedrooms, open spaces, kitchen, cutlery, drawers, fridge, freezer, cupboards, closets, plates and car.
- 8. A member of the raiding team who doubled as a videographer filmed, with his cell phone, all the spaces in the property, including bedrooms, living areas, the kitchen and other private spaces.
- 9. Plaintiff's domestic staff were thereby traumatised and have sustained varying degrees of severe shock as a result. Plaintiff says that the conduct of the Defendants and their cohorts was in the circumstances trespassory and invasive of Plaintiff's property and privacy rights.
- 10. Plaintiff says further that the raid on the property which gained notoriety both domestically and internationally has not only exposed him to public ridicule, resentment and scorn but also portrayed him as a criminal and this has negatively impacted his hard earned reputation in the international community.
- 11. Plaintiff through his Lawyers has sought confirmation from the Offices of the National Intelligence Bureau, the Chief of the Defence Staff and the Inspector General of Police whether or not "the raiding team" acted at their instance and the purpose thereof but no response thereto has been received.
- 12. By reason of the matters aforesaid the Plaintiff has suffered great anxiety and distress and in the premises has suffered loss and damage as well.
- 13. Plaintiff says that the Defendants will not refrain from further interference with his property and privacy rights unless restrained by the Court.
- 14. Plaintiff avers further that the Defendants will not compensate him for their unlawful acts unless compelled so to do by the Court.

Wherefore Plaintiff Claims against the Defendants jointly and severally as per the Writ of Summons.

DATED AT ADJEKUM AND COMPANY PRUC, 5^{TH} FLOOR, PYRAMID HOUSE, RING ROAD CENTRAL, ACCRA THIS 3^{RD} DAY OF MARCH, 2025.

SOLICITOR FOR PLAINTIFF BRIGHT OKYERE-ADJEKUM LICENCE NO. eGAR 00061/25

TIN. P0005655552 CHAMBER'S REG. NO. ePP09182/24 CHAMBER'S BP NO. 3000068190

THE REGISTRAR
HIGH COURT
GENERAL JURISDICTION
ACCRA.

-AND TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANTS, UPON WHOM PLAINTIFF SHALL DIRECT SERVICE.